

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,024	09/18/2006	Andreas Furrer	Belimo P1020 US	3205
37138 THADDIUS I	37138 7590 07/21/2008 THADDIUS J. CARVIS		EXAMINER	
102 NORTH KING STREET			FRISTOE JR, JOHN	R, JOHN K
LEESBURG, VA 20176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/599,024 FURRER, ANDREAS Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JOHN K. FRISTOE JR 3753 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 9/18/2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/599,024 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 4-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a
multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP

§ 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-16 are not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPO2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPO 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPO 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 1-3 recite broad recitation followed by "in particular . . .", which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,024 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action;

lousiless rejections set forth in this Office action.

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3 as far as they are definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over WO03/029632 (Brendle et al. as evidenced by U.S> Pat. No. 6,974,119) in

view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,089,505 (Anderle et al.). Brendle et al. disclose a reduction gearing of an

electrically operated actuator to control gaseous or liquid volume comprising a reduction gearing

(14a, 14b, and 12b), a primary gearing (14a), at least one drive motor (20), a secondary gearing

(14b), an output drive (12b), wherein the gear modules (14a, 14b) are interchangeable (the

gearing could be possible switched and would still work correctly) but lacks a self lock torque

limiting coupling. Anderle et al. teach an electrically operated actuator or drive motor (11) and a

self lock torque limiting coupling (57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made to modify the reduction gearing of Brendle et al. by

adding a torque limiting coupling as taught by Anderle et al. in order to prevent a dangerous

amount of torque being applied to the valve member.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 7,055,795 (Lay) discloses a reduction gearing in a valve assembly.

U.S. Pat. No. RE39,257 (Kamimura et al.) disclose a reduction gearing in a valve assembly.

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to John K. Fristoe Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-4926.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7: 00 a.m.4: 30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/John K. Fristoe Jr./ John K. Fristoe Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

JKF